

# Performance Target: worksites

## Background

- Jurisdiction target required for the CTR plan
- Worksite target not required in plan. If available, provide it.
- Planning Guidance: Task 10 (page 13)
  - “10. List a performance target for each CTR-affected worksite.  
*For any performance targets tied to the CTR survey, indicate that you’ll establish performance targets during the 2023-2025 survey cycle”*
- Why are we asking for a worksite target if it’s not required?
  - RCW requires it be listed in the plan, but the schedule of the CTR tool and its baseline makes it difficult.

# Performance Target: worksites

## What is the worksite performance target?

- A performance measure that reflects the unique transportation resources of a worksite.

## Without survey data, how does a jurisdiction assign a worksite target?

Preferred option:

- Wait until survey results are completed in the 2023-2025 biennium. Apply a percent reduction below that baseline.

Secondary option:

- If a local business need requires worksite targets before you baseline, apply the logic offered by Option 2 of the jurisdictional performance strategies, i.e., use 2019 DAR census data from the zip code of which the worksite is located. Apply a percent reduction below that historic performance.

# Performance Target: jurisdictions

## Option 1: 60% DAR

- The statewide target that is 15.5% lower than 2019 census performance.
- A jurisdiction may adopt a 60% target in their plan, and they won't need Tech Committee approval.

# Performance Target: jurisdictions

**Option 2:** applies the same logic as Option 1, but to local DAR performance

- The targets in the matrix (page 19 of plan guidance.)
- 15.5% reduction in census performance from 2019 DAR census performance for that jurisdiction.
- A jurisdiction may adopt that target, and it won't need Tech Committee approval.

# Performance Target: jurisdictions

## Option 3: Other DAR

- Guided by some other logic/calculation, but it is still a DAR quantitative measurement.
- Requires Technical Committee approval.

# Performance Target: jurisdictions

## Option 4: Other measurement

- Reflects local policy goals (not DAR).
- Examples: GHG reduction, trips reduced, VMT targets, transit boardings, qualitative goals, etc.
- Requires Technical Committee approval.

# Unique performance target: Process

- Jurisdictions review CTR plan guidance
  - Appendix B, page 19
- Select Option 3 or 4
- Jurisdiction completes application
  - TDM Board website (link updated)
- WSDOT staff review.
- Technical Committee reviews. Vote required.

# Unique performance target: Community Transit

- **Proposal summary**

- **Application:** unique target for unincorporated areas.
- **Proposal:** 69% DAR. Option 3. Average of DAR performance targets for Lynnwood and Everett. Source is provided in table for Option 2. Baseline would be 23-25 survey results.

- **WSDOT review:**

- Valid method. Advised that land use and transportation networks of referenced targets reflect those of the unincorporated areas.